

EXHIBIT E

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

PRO SE Motion of exparte PRO SE

I, Brian David Hill, file a pro se motion of exparte as a one sided Legal Argument to the court.

PRO SE First of all according to the U.S. Constitution and the Tenth Amendment whatever powers not delegated by the Constitution and by Federal Law are reserved to the states respectively or to the people. Whatever state

PRO SE evidence is found inadmissible by state statute is inadmissible in Federal court. Federal rules also apply to the evidence in this case since

PRO SE the Mayodon Police Department used state case evidence in the Federal case. I have a right to try state and Federal suppression rules on

PRO SE state and local police evidence and Federal suppression rules on Federal evidence. This is a matter of law. State laws have the same

PRO SE force and effect as laws passed by Congress unless declared invalid by Federal law. If specific evidence was to be declared

PRO SE inadmissible in state court then it cannot be used in Federal court without an act of Congress or Federal court ruling overturning

PRO SE the state decision on inadmissibility of state evidence. This I submit to the court on June 8, 2014. Executed on June 8, 2014.

Brian D. Hill
signed

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

pro
se
page
172

Motion to Dismiss pro se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

pro
se

I, Brian David Hill, file a pro se motion for case dismissal with prejudice on civil liberties grounds, lack of valid credible evidence, based on violations of my rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act, and for ineffective assistance of counsel then denial of my due process rights with firing Eric Placke but the Judge refused me new counsel. My counsel refused usage of witnesses for my defense, refused my advisement for the suppression motions in January, and would not conduct any investigations to find credible evidence for my defense, then tried to give me a bad plea deal. Evidence submitted to the court regarding my disability and referencing Righthaven LLC vs. Brian D. Hill Colorado Federal civil case protects me under the ADA law. I was denied due process at the June 4, 2014 hearing. Mayodan PD attempted to use the criminal investigation to scare me into shutting down my political website U.S.W.G.O Alternative News at uswgo.com violating my first Amendment right, and if Phil Berger Sr. and Jr. is involved then it may be a conflict of interest and a political conspiracy to violate and suppress my civil liberties under color of law which I think may be a felony. I request with the court as an indigent for a private investigation to investigate the

pro
se

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

pro se

page
2/2

Motion to Dismiss
involvement of former Rockingham County
District Attorney Phil Berger Jr. by

pro
se

investigating the DA Office 2012 case files
for anything to do with USWGO, Brian D. Hill
and/or Brian David Hill. If no records exist
then that violates NC state law and proves

pro
se

a coverup of case files, as state law mandates
the DA is involved in a state investigation or
gets paperwork in a state investigation to decide
whether to prosecute me in a state court or
bump it up to Federal court by transferring it

pro
se

from the local DA Office to the U.S. Attorney.
DA Phil Berger Jr. has to be involved
according to state law. If he denies

pro
se

involvement in my case in 2012/2013 then
there is some kind of law violation or
coverup. For any or all reasons stated in this

pro
se

motion, and other records on docket, I humbly
and respectfully request with the court that my
motion to dismiss be granted. This I ask the
court. Respectfully submitted to the court
on June 8, 2014. Executed on June 8, 2014.

pro
se

Brian D. Hill
signed

pro
se

Defendant - Brian David Hill